

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 SERVER TECHNOLOGY, INC.,
9 a Nevada corporation,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 AMERICAN POWER CONVERSION
13 CORPORATION, a Massachusetts corporation,

14 Defendant.

Case No. 3:06-CV-698-LRH-VPC

14
15 **ORDER ENTERING**
16 **ONGOING ROYALTY**

17 Following a jury trial in May 2014, certain of the AP7900 and AP8900 products sold
18 by Defendant American Power Conversion Corporation (“APC”) were found to infringe claim
19 15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,043,543 (“the ‘543 patent”) and claim 15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,702,771
20 (“the ‘771 patent”). On March 31, 2015, the Court entered an Order directing the parties to
21 prepare an appropriate compulsory license with an ongoing 15% royalty rate on sales of certain
22 Licensed Products, defined below, that are sold by APC from the date of judgment, August 8,
23 2014. (Doc. #651). The parties submitted a proposed order with the terms set forth below.

24 The Court hereby enters the following ORDER:

25 1. The “Licensed Products” are defined to include the following products sold by
26 APC: AP7930, AP7931, AP7932, AP7940, AP7941, AP7950, AP7951, AP7952, AP7953,
AP7954, AP7960, AP7961, AP7968, AP7990, AP7991, AP7998, AP8941, AP8958,

1 AP8958NA3, AP8959, AP8959NA3, AP8961, AP8965, AP8981, and products that are not
2 colorably different from one or more of the specifically identified Licensed Products.

3 2. For the period beginning on the date judgment was entered, August 8, 2014, and
4 continuing until the expiration of the last to expire of the ‘543 patent or the ‘771 patent, APC
5 shall pay plaintiff Server Technology, Inc. (“STI”) an ongoing royalty, as a percentage of gross
6 sales, of 15% on all Licensed Products manufactured, sold, imported into, or exported from,
7 the United States.

8 a. APC represents that it promptly will submit a supersedeas bond or
9 similar instrument (jointly referred to as the “bond”) for court approval pursuant to Rule 62(d)
10 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The royalty payment obligation set forth in the
11 following paragraph 2(b) is conditioned upon the inclusion of a provision in the bond
12 providing that the proceeds of the bond may be used to satisfy both the amended judgment
13 entered in this case and any royalty payments that are due pursuant to this order.

14 b. APC will be obligated to pay to STI all reasonable royalties from the
15 date of judgment (August 8, 2014), plus post-judgment interest, owed under the terms of the
16 compulsory license set forth in this Order within 45 days of the date the appellate court’s
17 mandate would issue under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 41(b) in the appeal from the
18 judgment in this case, regardless of whether a motion for stay of mandate is filed or the
19 mandate is stayed pursuant to Rule 41(d)(2).

20 c. Thereafter, APC shall pay to STI all royalties owed on a quarterly basis,
21 with payment to be made within 60 days of the end of each calendar quarter, except that for
22 any payment that would be due less than 45 days after the date the appellate court’s mandate
23 would issue as set forth in paragraph 2(a), the deadline for any such payment shall be extended
24 to a date 45 days after the issuance of the mandate as set forth above. If for any reason any
25 royalty is not paid when due, interest shall accrue on the unpaid balance at a rate of one and
26 one-half percent (1.5%) per month from the date the payment was due.

1 3. Each quarterly payment shall be accompanied by a written accounting of the
2 sales of Licensed Products that (a) states total sales (in dollars) of Licensed Products during the
3 quarter, and (b) provides by product number, total sales during the quarter (in units and dollars)
4 of each Licensed Product. The accounting shall be certified under penalty of perjury as
5 accurate by an appropriate representative of APC. APC shall keep proper records and books of
6 account in accordance with past practices necessary to calculate royalties under this order.

7 4. APC shall allow STI to conduct an audit, to occur no more than once per
8 twelve-month period, of APC's records and documents to ensure compliance with this Order.
9 Any such audit shall be conducted by an independent certified public accountant of STI's
10 choosing. The auditor shall obligate him or herself in writing to APC to maintain in
11 confidence all information that it receives from APC, and shall submit a written report to STI
12 (with a copy to APC) containing only its conclusions as to the quantity and sales of Licensed
13 Products for which royalties should be paid under this order. The cost of the audit will be
14 borne solely by STI unless the results of the audit show a 5% or more underpayment of
15 royalties due to STI during the period audited, in which case all auditing costs including the
16 fees of the auditor shall be paid by APC.

17 5. APC shall notify STI of any design changes that may be applied to the Licensed
18 Products should APC take the position that such design changes take the modified product
19 outside the scope of an ongoing royalty.

20 6. APC shall mark all Licensed Products manufactured in, sold in, imported into,
21 or exported from the United States with the applicable patent number in compliance with
22 35 U.S.C. § 287.

23 7. The Court specifically retains jurisdiction to enforce, modify, or terminate this
24 Order as the equities may require, and to resolve any disputes, including disputes over whether
25 ///
26 ///

1 an APC product other than the Licensed Products is subject to the royalty provisions of this
2 order or infringes claim 15 of the '543 patent or claim 15 of the '771 patent.

3

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED this 21st day of May, 2015.

6
7
8 LARRY R. HICKS
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE